

Using models for OSSEs to guide measurement strategies

Jerome Fast

October 10, 2024

Integrated Cloud, Land-Surface,& **Aerosol System Study CLASS**

Spatial Variability of Aerosols and OSSEs

- An observing system simulation experiment (OSSE) is a model experiment used to evaluate the value of a new observing system when actual observational data are not available.
- ▶ OSSEs have been performed to determine whether a new observing system will add value to numerical weather prediction and analysis; to make

decisions for a new observing system or network; and to investigate the behavior of data assimilation systems and thereby optimally tune these systems in an environment where the "truth" and hence the system's behavior is known (Zeng et al. BAMS 2020).

Use BNF as a case.

What's Available to Help?

- WRF-Chem has been providing daily air quality forecasts for the past several years *https://www.acom.ucar.edu/firex-aq/forecast.shtml*
- \triangle $\Delta x = 12$ km for CONUS
- NEI2014, MEGAN, and FINN for emissions
- MOZCART chemistry (GOCART-like aerosols, bulk)
- CO tracers (for source attribution)
- ▶ 21 x 21 grid extracted at every hour for SGP and BNF since August 2023, mostly 2D surface variables but some 3D variables saved
- ~3 Gb per month

Relatively few EPA monitors in this region

Other operational U.S. air quality models with aerosols include RAP-Chem, NOAA CMAQ

Simulated isoprene concentrations

Variables Saved

- **Gases - 2D surface**: ACET, ALD, BIGALK, BIGENE, C2H4, C2H6, C3H8, CH3CHO, CH4, CO, HCHO, HO2, HO, ISOPR, NO2, NO, O3, PAN, TOL
- ▶ Gases 3D: c2h6, ch4, co, co_anth, co_fire, co_asia, co_bdry, co_brdy_fire, co_chem, hcho, hcn, hno3, h2o5, nh3, no, no2, o3 ,pan
- **Aerosol - 2D surface:** BC1, BC2, DUST1-5, OC1, OC2, PM10, PM2_5, SEAS1-4, SO2
- **Aerosol - 3D:** PM10, PM2_5
- **Optical properties - 2D surface:** AOD300, 400, 550, 600, 999; SSA300, 400, 600, 999 \blacktriangleright
- **Optical properties - 3D:** BSCOEF2-4, EXTAER1-5, EXTCOF55, PHOTR2-3
- **Meteorology - 2D:** CFRACT, CLDFRA, PBLH, Q2, T2, RAINC, RAINNC, RAINSH, SWDOWN, U10, V10
- **Meteorology - 3D:** P, PB, PH, PHB, PSFC, QCLOUD, QVAPOR, T, U, V, W

AOD Variability (% Difference) Pacific Northwest

Decatur Huntsville

NATIONAL LABORATORY

PM2.5 Variability (% Difference)

Decatur Huntsville

Pacific

Northwest

LABORATORY

Example Spatial PM2.5 Variability

March 31 12 UTC April 1 00 UTC April 1 12 UTC

$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}$

\blacktriangleright Period when local fires produce large variability

Some plumes pass through network

 $\triangle x = 12$ km overestimates impact of fires on network

SO² Variability

Pacific

Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY

main site range from supplemental sites range within 7 x 5 cells around main site main site anthropogenic CO main site fire CO

Vertical Profiles of CO from Fires

Decatur **Huntsville**

Pacific

Northwest

profiles at the main site

Implications

- Aerosols and their precursors are highly variable around BNF, and the amount of spatial variability is seasonally dependent.
	- Anthropogenic point sources, biogenic emissions, fires (particularly local prescribed)
- Some species are more variable than others (e.g., AOD vs SO_2)
- Main site will likely have largest local biogenic influence
- This analysis just scratches the surface
- **Possible activities:**
	- Sample at other grid cells?
	- *Correlate species with wind direction?*
	- **Examine variability aloft?**
	- **Continue to archive simulations through** *the BNF lifetime?*

